GOVERNMENT

OF

THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

+ + + + +

ZONING COMMISSION

+ + + +

PUBLIC HEARING

+ + + + +

: Case No. 01-28TE IN THE MATTER OF:

PUD MODIFICATION AND TIME : EXTENSION 200 K STREET, NE : -----:

> Monday, October 3, 2005

Former Council Chamber 441 4th Street, N.W. Washington, D.C.

The Public Hearing of Case No. 01-28TE by the District of Columbia Zoning Commission convened at 6:30 p.m. in the Former Council Chamber at 441 4th Street, Northwest, Washington, D.C., Carol J. Mitten, Chair, presiding.

ZONING COMMISSION MEMBERS PRESENT:

CAROL J. MITTEN Chairperson ANTHONY J. HOOD Vice Chairma Vice Chairman GREGORY N. JEFFRIES Commissioner
JOHN G. PARSONS Commissioner (NPS)

OFFICE OF ZONING STAFF PRESENT:

SHARON S. SCHELLIN Acting Secretary

OFFICE OF PLANNING STAFF PRESENT:

STEVEN COCHRAN JENNIFER STEINGASSER

D.C. OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL PRESENT:

ALAN BERGSTEIN, ESQ.

This transcript constitutes the minutes from the public hearing held on October 3, 2005.

AGENDA ITEMS PA	<u>GE</u>
OPENING REMARKS Chairperson Mitten	4
PRELIMINARY MATTERS Chairperson Mitten	6
CASE NO. 01-28TE (PUD MODIFICATION AND TIME EXTENSI	ON
<u>200 K STREET, NE)</u>	8
Mr. Dixon	8
Mr. Ray	11
VOTE ON DOSTDONEMENT FOID TO 7FPO TO ONE	23

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

1 2 6:47 p.m. CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Good evening ladies and 3 4 gentlemen. This is a public hearing of the Zoning Commission of the District of Columbia for Monday, 5 6 October 3rd, 2005. My name is Carol Mitten and 7 joining me this evening are Vice Chairman Anthony Hood 8 and Commissioners John Parsons and Greg Jeffries. 9 The subject of this evening's hearing is 10 Zoning Commission Case No. 01-28TE. This is a request 11 by 200 K Street Limited Partnership for approval of a 12 modification to a previously approved Planned United 13 Development and a two-year time extension for property located at 200 K Street, NE, and known as Square 749, 14 15 Lots 208, 18, 23-26, 31-42, 57, 63, 66, 804, 805, 806-16 809, 812-815, 818, 819, 820, and 822. 17 Notice of today's hearing was posted in the 18 D.C. Register on July 1st, 2005, and copies of that 19 notice are on the table by the door. 20 This hearing will be conducted in accordance with the provisions of 11 DCMR Section 3022, which are 21 22 the rules of procedure for contested cases. 23 The order of procedure will be as follows: 2.4 We'll take up preliminary matters first 25 followed by the presentation of the Applicant's case,

report by the Office of Planning, report by any other Government agencies, report of the affected Advisory Neighborhood Commission, In this case it's 6-C. And organizations and persons in support and organizations and persons in opposition.

The following time constraints will be maintained in this hearing. The Applicant will have 60 minutes to present their case, organizations will have five minutes and individuals will have three minutes. The Commission intends to adhere to these time limits as strictly as possible in order to hear the case in a reasonable period of time.

The Commission reserves the right to change the time limits for presentations if necessary and notes that no time shall be seeded.

All persons appearing before the Commission are to fill out two witness cards and those cards are also on the table by the door. Upon coming forward to speak to the Commission, please give both cards to the reporter who is sitting to our right.

Please be advised that this proceeding is being recorded by the Court Reporter. Accordingly, we ask you to refrain from making any disruptive noises in the hearing room. When presenting information to the Commission, first you come forward and then turn

2.4

1 on and speak into the microphone on the table, first 2 stating your name and home address. When you're finished speaking, please turn 3 4 off the microphones so it's not picking up any 5 background noise. 6 The decision of the Commission in this case 7 must be based exclusively on the public record. 8 avoid any appearance to the contrary, the Commission 9 requests that person present not engage the members of the Commission in conversation during a recess or at 10 11 any other time. And Mrs. Schellin will be available 12 throughout the hearing to answer any procedural 13 questions that you might have. Please turn off all beepers and cell phones 14 15 at this time so as not to disrupt the hearing. At this time, we'll take up any preliminary 16 17 matters and I know that we have a few, Mrs. Schellin. 18 First, I think we should take up the request 19 for party status. And that request is at Exhibit 20 Number 65 in the record and it's a request by Mosella 21 Boyd Johnson and her submission speaks to why she 22 feels that she's qualified to be granted party status 23 in this case. 2.4 feeling about this, while I

encourage her to testify when the time comes, she did

1	not speak to and I don't know that she could speak to
2	why she is uniquely affected, which is one of the
3	standards for being granted party status. She owns
4	property that's 100 feet from the PUD site and there
5	are numerous property owners that are within that
6	distance. And so I don't know that she could make
7	the case for why she would be uniquely affected and,
8	indeed, she has not made that case.
9	VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: I would agree, Madam
10	Chair, and I also think that maybe she will have
11	opportunity to testify
12	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Absolutely.
13	VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: and possibly
14	depending how this moves forward, state her position
15	also to the Agency.
16	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Anyone else?
17	Is there any objection to denying party
18	status for Ms. Boyd Johnson?
19	Okay. Then without objection, Mosella Boyd
20	Johnson is not granted party status in this case.
21	So, then the next issue before us is a
22	request for postponement from the ANC and there were
23	a couple of different reasons that were put forward by
24	the ANC and those are in their letter to us which is
25	at Exhibit No. 53.

1 Is Mr. Dixon here or someone representing--2 would you come forward please? 3 Would you please state your name and home 4 address for the record please? 5 MR. DIXON: My name is Mark Dixon, ANC 602, 6 Chairperson of 6C. 7 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Thank you. You said in your letter to us that you 8 9 needed a couple of weeks into October in order to feel 10 satisfied that you'd had time to convene a meeting and 11 study the materials that the Applicant had put 12 forward? MR. DIXON: 13 What happened was we had Yes. gotten some more information from the developers and 14 15 everything. More plans and we had not had a chance to 16 read those plans and understand what they're trying to 17 do. 18 Also, the community didn't get a chance to 19 read these plans or seeing anything that's going on 20 So, my reason for this is so we can get the too. community involved if I can get just a little bit more 21 22 time to get it out to the community, have a community 23 meeting so they can understand and ask developers any 2.4 questions that they wanted to ask them and get it

cleared up before we come to hearing.

1	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. Thank you.									
2	Any questions for Mr. Dixon?									
3	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: You certainly have									
4	had community meetings on this already. It's the									
5	changes that you haven't seen.									
6	MR. DIXON: It's the changes that they									
7	brought to us at the last minute at the last meeting.									
8	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: I see.									
9	MR. DIXON: Yes. We're not sure about and									
10	people in the community weren't sure about.									
11	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: Okay. Thank you.									
12	MR. DIXON: And we just want to make sure									
13	different things can change or cannot change or what.									
14	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: Okay.									
15	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Thank you.									
16	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: Excuse me.									
17	When did the Applicant or the developer let									
18	you know of the changes?									
19	MR. DIXON: At our last ANC meeting.									
20	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: Which was?									
21	MR. DIXON: September 14th.									
22	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: 14th. Okay. It's									
23	in the letter. Okay. Great. Thank you.									
24	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Thank you. You can									
25	have a seat.									

MR. DIXON: Okay.

2.4

CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: And Mr. Ray, if you would come forward to speak for the Applicant.

There's a couple of things that I just want to tee this up for you and then you can respond.

One thing is that we know that we were very emphatic with the Applicant about not requesting a further postponement and so for purposes of answering our questions about supporting the request, put that aside and tell us whether or not the Applicant would have any objection to basically accepting the recommendation from the ANC. That's point number one.

And then point number two is, and we just had a similar situation that came up last week, where there's a standard for granting an extension in a PUD case. And so far I don't believe we have anything in the record that's to that standard. And it involves. There's a couple of things. One is to show that there's a good cause for the extension and then in our regulations in 2408.11, it expands on what that means.

And there's also a requirement to show that there's no substantial change in any of the material facts upon which the Commission based its original approval of the PUD that would undermine the Commission's justification for approving the original

1 And so given that there's not material on the PUD. 2 record at this point addressing those, and that's a 3 threshold question for the Commission, it might be 4 worthwhile to take some additional time to fill out 5 the record. 6 MR. RAY: Thank you. Madam Chairman, for 7 the record my name is John Ray. I'm with the law firm of Manatt Phelps & Phillips and I represent the 8 9 Applicant. 10 Madam Chairman, we oppose the delay and we 11 appear tonight and urge the Commission to go forward 12 with this application. 13 We appeared before the ANC on two occasions. We first went before the ANC and presented to them 14 15 that we were going to withdraw our desire to have this as both residential and office and simply make it all 16 17 residential with ground retail. 18 They requested that we go to the Zoning 19 subcommittee and present it there. We went before the 20 Zoning Committee and presented it at the Zoning Committee and then went back to the full ANC. 21 22 The real change in this, Madam Chairman, 23 we're not asking for any changes in terms of the 2.4 heights that's been approved before.

changing the footprint. All that we are really

1	changing is that we're withdrawing the office building
2	part and making it all residential.
3	There's really no substantial change to this
4	project.
5	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. Does anyone have
6	any questions for Mr. Ray? His position?
7	VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: Mr. Ray, you said you
8	are opposed to the postponement. But when you met with
9	the Zoning. I guess the ANC has a zoning license
10	separate. Okay.
11	When you met with them, what was the
12	because I think they're also in agreement with the
13	continuance, am I correct? At least that's the way I
14	read it.
14 15	read it. MR. RAY: You mean the Zoning?
15	MR. RAY: You mean the Zoning?
15 16	MR. RAY: You mean the Zoning? VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yes. Whether it's the
15 16 17	MR. RAY: You mean the Zoning? VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yes. Whether it's the zoning piece or the ANC and the full ANC, all them are
15 16 17 18	MR. RAY: You mean the Zoning? VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yes. Whether it's the zoning piece or the ANC and the full ANC, all them are in accord as far as postponement. Am I correct?
15 16 17 18 19	MR. RAY: You mean the Zoning? VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yes. Whether it's the zoning piece or the ANC and the full ANC, all them are in accord as far as postponement. Am I correct? MR. RAY: Well, the Zoning Committee
15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. RAY: You mean the Zoning? VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yes. Whether it's the zoning piece or the ANC and the full ANC, all them are in accord as far as postponement. Am I correct? MR. RAY: Well, the Zoning Committee actually, Mr. Hood, voted in support of the project.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. RAY: You mean the Zoning? VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yes. Whether it's the zoning piece or the ANC and the full ANC, all them are in accord as far as postponement. Am I correct? MR. RAY: Well, the Zoning Committee actually, Mr. Hood, voted in support of the project. It was at the full ANC that the resolution was passed,
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. RAY: You mean the Zoning? VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yes. Whether it's the zoning piece or the ANC and the full ANC, all them are in accord as far as postponement. Am I correct? MR. RAY: Well, the Zoning Committee actually, Mr. Hood, voted in support of the project. It was at the full ANC that the resolution was passed, I assume for an extension.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. RAY: You mean the Zoning? VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yes. Whether it's the zoning piece or the ANC and the full ANC, all them are in accord as far as postponement. Am I correct? MR. RAY: Well, the Zoning Committee actually, Mr. Hood, voted in support of the project. It was at the full ANC that the resolution was passed, I assume for an extension. VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: For us, for our

1 VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: So, I'm just trying to 2 understand because I didn't understand actually when 3 I was reading the letter either. But I was just 4 trying to see whether they both on one accord. 5 Do you know whether they both on one accord 6 for this postponement? 7 Well, I can only say that at the MR. RAY: Zoning Committee they voted in favor and in support of 8 9 the project. 10 It was at the full ANC that the resolution 11 was passed, the request for extension. So, I departed 12 the Zoning Committee with the understanding that they 13 was in support of the project. 14 VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: Okay. Thank you. 15 They did not ask for any kind of MR. RAY: an extension at that committee. 16 17 COMMISSIONER PARSONS: Mr. Ray, apparently 18 something must have happened at the ANC where new 19 plans were brought forward between the Zoning 20 Committee and the ANC. Is that correct? 21 MR. RAY: No. Mr. Parsons. I think what 22 happened at the ANC meeting that there were an number 23 of residents that showed up who stated opposition to 2.4 the project and who indicated that they had not had an 25 opportunity to review the new proposal. And I think

1 also they indicated that they were not present at the 2 Zoning proceeding. 3 But we presented the same plans at both. 4 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Mr. Dixon, maybe you 5 should come back up and if you can, help to clarify 6 this at all. 7 MR. DIXON: Understanding that the Zoning Committee is one our committees that people go through 8 9 before they come before the whole ANC. The residents who came to our meeting at the whole ANC did complain 10 11 about they didn't know anything of what was going on 12 in reference to the height of the property and some 13 other questions about the property itself and the 14 homes that are still occupied on the property that 15 they're working on, that they are planning on 16 rebuilding on. 17 I had the minutes of both meetings if you 18 need to request it. Yes. When it came through --when 19 it came through the committee for zoning in my area, 20 it might have passed that area. Zoning meetings is okay as far as they know to send it to the whole ANC. 21 22 The whole ANC votes on the whole project. Yes. We do have a number of commissioners 23 2.4 on the Zoning Committee and after listening to what

the community said and after we got to look at the

1	plans and everything, we thought that we didn't have
2	enough time to observe what is really going on and
3	that's what happened.
4	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. Anyone else have
5	questions?
6	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: So, what was the
7	date of the Zoning Committee of the ANC?
8	MR. DIXON: September the 1st. What's the
9	date of that meeting? 7th. Seven days before thewe
10	have the first Wednesday of each month the Zoning
11	Committee. The second Wednesday of the same month we
12	had the ANC.
13	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: So, the Zoning
14	Committee approved what was put in front of them at
15	that time?
16	MR. DIXON: At that time.
17	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: Okay. And then what
18	does that Zoning Committee do with that information as
19	it related to the overall community? Or it just
20	presents it to the full ANC?
21	MR. DIXON: We call on the Zoning Committee.
22	They make their presentation and at that time, the
23	whole Commission votes on it.
24	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: So, they made that
25	presentation on September 14th?

1	MR. DIXON: Right.
2	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: And then the full
3	ANC turned it down?
4	MR. DIXON: Right.
5	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: And what the
6	Applicant is saying is that the only change really was
7	a change from office complex to residential?
8	MR. RAY: No. Commissioner. There was no
9	change between the zoning meeting and the full ANC.
10	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: Oh, okay.
11	MR. RAY: The change that I'm speaking of is
12	the change that we made
13	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: Before. Prior to
14	MR. RAY: Prior. Yes.
15	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: Okay. So, we're
16	looking at the same project from September 1st to the
17	14th?
18	MR. RAY: Yes. September 7th to the 14th.
19	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: Oh, the 7th to the
20	14th?
21	MR. DIXON: Right. And what had happened
22	was, we didn't know, in changing over from business,
23	business to residence, I guess the confusion came up
24	as how many houses is that? How this? How many that
25	came up?

1	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: Okay, and so
2	MR. RAY: And that came from the first time
3	that I think you came through us was in the summer,
4	back in July. Was that correct?
5	MR. DIXON: I'm not sure about that right
6	now. I'm trying to go the top of my head.
7	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: So, Commissioner, so
8	what's happened since September 14th and today as it
9	relates to ANC and this project?
10	MR. DIXON: Well, we have been to the
11	community and setting up some meeting dates, if it
12	goes through. And we will have some community
13	meetings. We have community here tonight to see if
14	it's going t happen. And have the developers come to
15	talk to the people at the community meeting.
16	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: Thank you.
17	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: Now, Mr. Ray, we've
18	been presented with some plans very recently that join
19	two buildings together. Are you familiar with those?
20	MR. RAY: Yes, sir.
21	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: Is that something the
22	ANC has seen? Or the Zoning Committee saw?
23	MR. RAY: No. They did not.
24	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: Okay. So, that
25	wasn't something that happened between the Zoning and

the ANC?								
MR. RAY: Yes.								
COMMISSIONER PARSONS: Thank you.								
VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: Madam Chair, if I could								
just ask one more question?								
CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Yes.								
CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: On that square, there's								
some privately owned homes. And I just want to make								
sure we're not be acquiring homes.								
Are we doing the imminent domain piece. Is								
that going to be somethingI didn't read it. So, I								
just want to make sure that's not going to be aokay.								
Good. Thank you.								
CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: It's private. They								
don't have the								
VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: Right. Well, when I								
heard the homes, you know, we've been through that								
once before								
CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Right.								
VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: and I got caught off								
guard.								
CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Right. Okay. Thank								
you.								
You can return to your seats. Thank you.								
Well, what I would like to recommend is, I								

1 think, Mr. Ray was attempting to address the concern 2 I raised about the standard for PUD extension by 3 speaking to the substantial change. But it's a 4 substantial change in the material facts upon which the Commission based its original approval. 5 6 And also that there's good cause for the 7 extension, which is it's a fairly high standard and one that has not been fully developed to my mind. I 8 9 think Mr. Parsons raises a good point which is there are new plans the community has not seen yet and I 10 11 would like to recommend that we do postpone the 12 hearing tonight until the next available dat to give 13 the Applicant time to meet their burden under 2408.10 and 2408.11. And allow the community time to, you 14 know, fully examine the proposal where it stands today 15 and I'd like to get some feedback. 16 17 COMMISSIONER PARSONS: Was that a motion? 18 I'll second it if it was. 19 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. Fine. 20 COMMISSIONER PARSONS: Thank you. 21 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Discussion? 22 VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: Yes. Discussion, Madam 23 Chair. I just want to make sure we all on the same. 2.4 The Applicant is going to come back and 25 speak to this standard for PUD extensions first?

1 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Yes. 2 VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: And we want to deal with that first. 3 And then secondly, if that's 4 extended, then we'll move into the PUD? 5 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Yes. And I think what 6 we should do in case then, what we would do in the 7 case that we heard last Thursday is, basically have a two-phase hearing. And the first phase of the hearing 8 9 will be to take up the matter of the extension and 10 people can give us testimony on the extension and 11 we'll vote the extension up or down. And if the 12 extension is granted, then we move forward with the hearing on the modification. 13 If the extension is not granted, then the 14 15 hearing is over because you have to extend the PUD in 16 order to modify the PUD. 17 And, you know, the Commission has been 18 criticized for allowing PUDs that have been around for 19 quite some time to continue to be extended and then 20 become the basis for modifications. And that's why I 21 think it's very important that we highlight the 22 importance of meeting your burden for the extension 23 and that we call this out separately in the hearing. 2.4

VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: Madam Chair, we are

1	also looking at today. We want to make sure, I think,								
2	when the Chairman came forward from the ANC, Mr.								
3	Davis, I believe								
4	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Dixon.								
5	VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: Dixon, I'm sorry.								
6	That's what happens when you go off the top of your								
7	head.								
8	Mr. Dixon had mentioned that they were going								
9	to have a series of meetings.								
10	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Yes.								
11	VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: We need to make it								
12	clear that they probably need to move faster and maybe								
13	have "a" meeting and make sure everybody understands.								
14	But also we want to make sure that whatever date we								
15	choose is in tune with the date so we can get								
16	everybody together.								
17	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. With that in								
18	mind, Mrs. Schellin, what do you have by way of a								
19	date?								
20	MRS. SCHELLIN: Yes. My first available								
21	would be November 17th.								
22	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Is the Applicant								
23	available on the 17th of November?								
24	I need you to answer on the record, sir.								
25	Sorry.								

1	And, Mr. Dixon, maybe you could come forward								
2	and tell us if the 17th would work for the ANC also?								
3	Just give me that on the record.								
4	Go ahead, Mr. Dixon, since you have the								
5	shorter answer, I think.								
6	MR. DIXON: Okay. The 17th will be enough								
7	time because by that time we will have another ANC								
8	meeting and everything.								
9	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay.								
10	MR. DIXON: And we will meet by the								
11	community and we're setting it up as we talk now.								
12	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. Thank you.								
13	Mr. Ray.								
14	MR. RAY: Madam Chairman, I'm pretty sure								
15	I'm available. I know that I have a deposition in								
16	November. I think it's November the 14th. But I will								
17	check as soon as I can get back and let you know.								
18	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. Well, we'll plan								
19	on the 17th unless we hear otherwise and then we'll								
20	just have to figure something else out.								
21	But I do want to emphasize the importance of								
22	meeting the burden for the PUD extension. So, please								
23	review that part of the regulation. I just want to								
24	give you every opportunity to meet the standard.								
25	Okay. Anything else? Okay.								

1	So, we have a motion then before us that we
2	would postpone this hearing until November 17th.
3	VICE CHAIRMAN HOOD: I think there's been a
4	motion to second.
5	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Thank you. My brain is
6	just moving just a tad slow at the moment.
7	So, all those in favor please say aye.
8	(AYES)
9	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: None opposed, Mrs.
10	Schellin.
11	MRS. SCHELLIN: Yes. The staff would record
12	the vote, four to zero to one to postpone Case No. 01-
13	28 to Thursday, November 17th at 6:30 p.m.
14	Commissioner Mitten moving, Commissioner parsons
15	seconding, Commissioners Hood and Jeffries in favor.
16	And Commissioner Hildebrand not present, not voting.
17	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Thank you.
18	And I'm grateful to everyone who came out
19	tonight and has taken an interest in this case. And
20	hopefully this will give you a further opportunity to
21	speak to the Applicant and share whatever concerns you
22	might have.
23	So, we look forward to seeing you again on
24	November 17th.
25	We're adjourned.

								2	2.4
1			(Whereupo	on, the	above	matter	was	conclude	ed
2	at	7:10 g	o.m.)						
3									
4									
5									
6									
7									
8									
9									
10									
11									
	1								